



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,260	05/04/2006	Noriaki Tanaka	27385U	2094
20529 7590 03/09/2009 THE NATH LAW GROUP 112 South West Street Alexandria, VA 22314				
EXAMINER				
POPA, ILEANA				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1633				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/09/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/578,260

**Applicant(s)**

TANAKA ET AL.

**Examiner**

ILEANA POPA

**Art Unit**

1633

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ILEANA POPA.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Charles Niebyski.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 March 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: de la Tour et al. (Molecular Endocrinology, 2001, 476-483). Halvorsen et al. (Molecular and Cellular Biology, 1999, 19: 1864-1870).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented arguments addressing the rejections of record. Applicant was informed that his arguments are going to be given fair consideration once formally submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ileana Popa/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1633